

# Participation Guide

*Themes and questions to keep in mind  
for today's meeting*

## Project Purpose

The primary purpose of the project is to develop strategies that will make it easier for people to travel without getting on I-95 by using different roads, different modes, and making shorter and fewer trips.

South Florida needs a safe, reliable and efficient multimodal transportation network to maintain economic competitiveness and provide a high quality of life for residents. I-95 is a critical component of that transportation network, and is experiencing demand over capacity that threatens the viability of the transportation network as a whole. The I-95 Corridor Mobility Plan will identify a variety of transportation and land use strategies for FDOT, Broward County and southern Palm Beach County, and the various localities to implement to provide a transportation network that can effectively function today and in the future.

## Meeting Purpose

The purpose of today's meeting is to facilitate a two-way conversation on how I-95 affects local planning, identify themes and areas of concern, and discuss whether the proposed framework for the I-95 Corridor Mobility Plan fully addresses identified concerns.

## Project Objectives

Through this process, all partners can work towards:

- Supporting community and regional goals.
- Linking land use and transportation.
- Creating mutually supportive land uses and transportation networks to meet different functions and needs:
  - Mobility (through SIS and Commerce corridors)
  - Accessibility (through Multimodal corridors)
- Enhancing coordination among multiple agencies and partners on transportation and land use decisions.



### Meeting Agenda

Welcome and Background

Introductions & Local Objectives

Assessments

Generalized Future Land Use

Facilities & Places

Small Group Break Outs &

Report Back

Next Steps

Tasks

Future Workshops

## Discussion Questions

### Local Objectives

*We will begin the meeting with a dialogue on local objectives and perceptions related to I-95.*

- How does I-95 affect your community?
- How does I-95 mobility and the broader transportation network relate to your community's plans and goals?
- What local decisions affect the performance of I-95?

### Generalized Future Land Use Vision

*We want to develop a clear understanding of what the study area might look like in the future.*

- Does the generalized, broad brush future land use vision map look accurate to you?
- Do you think the map should be changed? How? Why?

Framing a common vision of future land use will ensure that the transportation network can effectively serve the land uses, and the land uses can support increased use of transit, biking and walking.

### Systems Perspective & Overall Framework

*Looking at the overall framework and study area maps, consider the following questions:*

- Do the functions identified for facility types and place types make sense (see handouts)?
- Does the framework as a whole effectively **link land use and transportation** planning?
- Do the **facility types** generally **match the future land use** and effectively **serve** them?
- Do the **facility networks** adequately **connect the major areas of future jobs and population**?
- Do the **facility networks** adequately **cover** the study area?
- Does the **districts and nodes** concept provide a useful frame in looking at future activity?
- Does the districts and nodes concept adequately capture the **demand for transit service**?
- **Is this a useful framework** for understanding connections between transportation and land use? For making planning and investment decisions?

### Local Perspective & Modifications to the Framework

*Thinking from your local experience and expertise, consider the following questions and how you might modify the proposed framework:*

- What are current or potential **local initiatives** that encourage using alternatives to driving alone?
- How can the framework best **incorporate local planning initiatives** for land use, transit, and transportation investments?
- Do you agree with the proposed facility classifications? With the proposed districts and nodes?
- What enhancements would you make? Why? Are there supporting local plans or policies?
  - *Would you classify some of the facilities differently? If so, please mark them.*
  - *Are there districts or nodes that are not shown on the maps? If so, please draw them.*
- Where are specific physical and psychological **barriers** for bicycle, pedestrian and transit modes? How might you suggest overcoming those barriers?
- What are some notable examples of **streetscapes** – both good and bad?
- Where are commercial destinations and neighborhoods that need **improved access**?
- Can neighborhood **density and design** be improved to make transit easier? Where? How?